

United States Patent and Trademark Office

MMERCE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/765,311	01/26/2004	Dennis Wendell	SUNMP383	9410		
32291	7590 11/30/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
MARTINE PENILLA & GENCARELLA, LLP 710 LAKEWAY DRIVE			NGUYER	NGUYEN, HIEP		
SUITE 200	AIDRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085			2816			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/765,311	WENDELL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Hiep Nguyen	2816		

	Hiep Nguyen	2816	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 November 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			TTO: 004
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		impliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>8,13,18, 25, 26 and 28</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,9-11,14-17,19-23,27 and 29</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		-4'	4 h
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	ice because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13.		relle	
	PF	^V TUANT.LAM RIMARY EXAMINER	

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/765,311

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The arguments are not persuasive. In the specification the "pull down logic" 221 is latch circuit (US 6,756,841 and 6,263,460. Claims 14 and 15 remain indefinite because the "pair of charging devices" (M8, M9) do not have gates connected to ground. Transistors (M6, M7) are load transistors. Claims 1 and 9 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b). Figure 3 of Su shows that the sensing operation comprises a read and write cycles. When signal (PRECHB1) goes low at time (t16), separate transistor 18(1) and separate transistor 18(2) of the booster circuits bring the voltages at separate sense nodes (N11B2) and (N112) from low to high state. The same rationale is applied to claim 9. Respective sense nodes are precharged at time t16.